

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/792,145	OULU ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Kenneth R. Coulter	2141	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Kenneth R. Coulter. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mary Jo Bertani (Reg. No. 42,321). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2008

**Time:** 4:51 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3 - 13, and 15 - 21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenneth R Coulter/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2141

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the cancelation of claims 19 - 21 and addition of substantive hardware in the preamble of independent claims 1 and 11 would place the present Application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative, Mary Jo Bertani, indicated that these modifications to the claim language were acceptable..